Some Salient Features in the Cranial Nerves of Certain Teleostean Fishes

P. K. SAXENA School of Studies in Zoology, Vikram University, UJJAIN (India)

The contributions from this country on the cranial nerves of teleostean fishes are of Karandikar & Thakur (1951), Marathe (1955), Sinha (1964), Mittel (1964) and MAHESHWARI (1965), though their knowledge in Indian bony fishes is very much in demand from students specializing in ichthyology. The present attempt describes only the salient features in the cranial nerves of Clarias batrachus (LINN.), Amphipnous cuchia (HAM.), Notopterus notopterus (PALLAS.), Cirrhina mrigala (HAM.), Hilsa ilisha (HAM.), Nandus nandus (HAM.) Xenentodon cancila (HAM.) and Cynoglossus bilineatus (LAC.) included for the study. The cranial nerves are identically disposed on either side except in Cynoglossus. The nervus olfactorius is small in Clarias, Notopterus and Cirrhina and long in Amphipnous, Hilsa, Xenentodon and Nandus, while thin on right side and thick on left side with a cup-shaped structure at the distal end in Cynoglossus. The nervus opticus forms the optic chiasma with the same of other size under the cerebrum, except in Amphipnous, where it lies under the olfactory lobes. The nervus opticus nerve of right side curves over the nervus olfactorius after decussation to reach on left side in Cynoglossus. The nevri trigeminalis and facialis by their fusion form the trigeminofacial complex in all except in Notopterus, where occurs only the connecting branches and some intermingling of fibres between them. The complex separates into the supraorbital and infraorbital trunks extracranially after giving off the hyomandibular trunk intracranially in Clarias, while all the three trunks arise intracranially in the rest. The supraorbital trunk separates into the rami opthalmicus superficialis trigeminus and opthalmicus superficialis facialis near the olfactory resette in Clarias and Amphipnous, in the middle in Hilsa and Xenentodon and immediately after the origin in Cirrhina. The two rami arise separately in Notopterus, while the trunk remains undivided except giving off small branches in Nandus and large branches being arranged in a fan-like manner in Cynoglossus. The infraorbital trunk splits into the ramus buccalis and maxillomandibularis trigeminus trunk in Clarias, Cirrhina, Xenentodon and Cynoglossus and into the buccalo-maxillaris trunk and ramus mandibularis trigeminus in the rest. Anastomoses exist between rami maxillaris and buccalis in Clarias, Amphipnous, Xenentodon and Cirrhina, between rami maxillaris and mandibularis trigeminus in Amphipnous, Notopterus and Cirrhina and between the maxillo-mandibularis trigeminus

100 P. K. SAXENA

trunk and ramus buccalis in Xenentodon. The hyomandibular trunk separates from the complex in Clarias and from the nervus fascialis in the remaining forms. It is connected with the complex through a communicating branch in Amphipnous, Cirrhina, Xenentodon, Hilsa and Nandus. The hyomandibular trunk separates the opercularis branch before splitting into the rami mandibularis facialis and hyoideus. The trunk maintains anastomosis with maxillomandibularis trigeminus trunk in Notopterus, while the ramus mandibularis facialis joins the mandibularis trigeminus in Hilsa, but only anastomoses with it in Xenentodon. The ramus hyoideus also gives off the opercular branch in Cynoglossus. Both anterior and posterior palatinus rami are present in Clarias and Xenentodon, but only anterior in Notopterus and Hilsa and only posterior in Amphipnous, Cirrhina, Nandus and Cynoglossus. The palatinus branch also shows anastomosis with ramus baccalis in Cirrhina. The ramus lateralis accessorius has been observed in Clarias, Amphipnous and Xenentodon only. The nervus acousticus maintains anastomoses with hyomandibular trunk in Amphipnous and between its two parts in Notopterus as well. The nervus glossopharyngeus remains independent through out but fuses with nervus vagi for a short distance in Hilsa, while besides the pretrematic branch it also gives off a pharyngeal branch in Notopterus and Xenentodon and it even fuses with the ramus mandibularis facialis in Nandus. It anastomoses with hyomandibular trunk in Amphipnous and Nandus, with nervus acousticus and nervus vagi in Amphipnous and with first spinalis in Cirrhina. The nervus vagi arises by a pair of roots of which the anterior and posterior roots are formed of three to four rootlets in Cirrhina and Notopterus respectively. The posterior root of nervus vagi forms anastomosis with ramus lateralis accessorius in Amphipnous. The vagal mass of nervus vagi gives off the ramus supratemporalis branch in Notopterus, Cirrhina and Cynoglossus and an opercular branch in Notopterus, Cirrhina, Nandus, Hilsa and Cynoglossus. The supratemporalis branch later on splits into the rami lateralis superficialis vagi and lateralis recurrens vagi in Cynoglossus, while the opercular branch anastomoses with the same of hyomandibular trunk in Notopterus, Hilsa and Cynoglossus. The fourth branchialis shows anastomosis with the visceralis branch in Cirrhina. The posttrematic branch shows connection with the pretrematic branch in Hilsa and Nandus and with the branchialis proper in Cirrhina. Besides the usual visceralis, a visceralis accessorius occurs in Amphipnous and Nandus.

The author is thankful to Dr. B.M. SINHA for supervision.

References